



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/022,235	12/20/2001	Takeshi Matsunaga	111510	4205
25944	7590	03/08/2006	EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			LEWIS, DAVID LEE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2673	

DATE MAILED: 03/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

10/022,235

Applicant(s)

MATSUNAGA ET AL.

Examiner

David L. Lewis

Art Unit

2673

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 January 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

**DETAILED ACTION**

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

**1. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gates et al. (6531997 B1) in view of Inoue (JP 401086116A) and Evans (3612758).**

**As in claim 1, Gates et al. teaches of** a image display device comprising: an image display medium which includes a display substrate, a rear substrate, **column 13 lines 50-52,**

display side electrodes which are linearly disposed at a side of the display substrate in a predetermined direction, **column 14 lines 4-11 and 50-53, column 26 lines 1-13,**

rear side electrodes which are linearly disposed at the side of a rear substrate in a direction intersecting the predetermined direction, **column 14 lines 4-11 and 50-53, column 26 lines 1-13,**

and plural types of colored particles each having different charging characteristics, which are interposed so as to be movable between the display side electrodes and the rear side electrodes, **column 24 lines 5-25;**

and a voltage applying component by which a voltage is applied to display side electrode and a rear side electrode, both selected to contribute to an image display, to generate there between a potential difference which triggers particle movement, **figure 3D item 4,**

and a voltage is applied to at least one of a display side electrodes and to a rear side electrode, in which at least one of the display side electrodes and the rear side electrode is not selected to contribute to the image display, to generate there between a potential difference which is smaller than the potential difference which triggers particle movement, thereby inhibiting a movement of particles at least one of towards and away from an electrode not selected to contribute to the image display **figure 3F item 18, column 19 lines 33-45.** Wherein a negative pulse 18 does not have sufficient amplitude to cause the particles 50 to move within capsule 20.

**However Gates et al. only implicitly suggests** the existence of a second or display substrate, column 13 lines 50-53, **and does the explicitly teaches of** said display substrate by way of illustration.

**Inoue et al. teaches** of said display, and rear electrode, figure 1 item 1, in the same particle based display as taught by Gates, wherein said implicit **display substrate feature would have been obvious** to the skilled artisan given its known use in the art as taught by Inoue et al., as found in claim 1.

Wherein as amended said language of “wherein a time period in which the voltage is applied that contributes to the image display overlaps with a time period in which the voltage is applied that does not contribute to the image display” is not explicitly taught by Gates et al.. Said feature would have been obvious to the skilled artisan at the time of the invention in view of Evans.

**Evans teaches of a** driving technique in a display as claimed wherein time period in which the voltage is applied that contributes to the image display overlaps with a time period in which the voltage is applied that does not contribute to the image display, column 6 lines 25-35. As shown in figure 3, Gates illustrates the voltage differential applied between the first and second electrodes, causing the particles to migrate. Gates illustrates the **result** of what is claimed and taught by Evans, wherein the voltages applied to the row and column electrodes may overlap in time period is known in the art. Therefore in figure 3F Gates teaches of a positive pulse addressing signal 16 preceding the negative pulse 18 so long as the negative pulse does not have sufficient amplitude to cause the particles to move, said signal/pulse being applied as claimed between the display and rear side electrode, in sequence. The delay between

the positive and negative pulse can be non-existent, column 19 lines 20-25, corresponding to the switching of Evans, which can be simultaneous or subsequently as known in the art.

**Therefore it would have been obvious** to the skilled artisan at the time of the invention to have time period of contribution and non contribution voltage application overlap because Evans suggests such a drive feature in a device as taught by Gates, having a second substrate as taught by Inoue et al., as found in claim 1.

**As in claim 2**, Gates et al. teaches of wherein the voltage applying component applies a voltage to the display side electrodes and the rear side electrodes such that a potential difference between the display side electrodes contributing to image display and the display side electrodes not contributing to the image display, figure 9A item  $V_{com}=V/2$ , is smaller than a potential difference between the rear side electrodes contributing to the image display and the rear side electrodes not contributing to the image display, figure 9A item 102 = 0 or V.

**As in claim 3**, Gates et al. teaches of, further comprising a pre-voltage applying component which, before the voltage applying component applies a voltage, applies a pre-voltage to both the display side electrodes and the rear side electrodes so as to attract particles to be moved to the electrodes on which the particles are adhering, column 18 lines 5-15, column 28 lines 55-65, pre-addressing or blanking.

**As in claim 4**, Gates et al. teaches of, the pre voltage applying component applies the pre-voltage, in a case that a potential difference between the display side electrodes and the rear side electrodes, in which at least one of the display side electrodes and the rear side electrodes do not contribute to image display, exceeds a predetermined value when the voltage applying component applies a voltage, figure 9A item 102 = 0 or +V.

**As in claim 5**, Gates et al. teaches of, wherein a value of the voltage applied by the pre-voltage applying component is the same as a value of the voltage which corresponds to the potential difference which triggers particle movement, figure 3D items 2 and 4.

**As in claim 6**, Gates et al. teaches of, wherein the types of particles comprise positively charged black particles and negatively charged white particles, column 15 lines 44-46.

**As in claim 7, Gates et al. teaches of** the limitations as applied above to claim 1. Further, Gates et al. teaches and a voltage applying component by which a voltage is applied to a display side electrode and a rear side electrode, both selected to contribute to an image display, to generate there between a potential difference which triggers particle movement, **figure 3E item 14**,

and by which a voltage is applied to a rear side electrode not selected to contribute to the image display to generate a potential difference which is smaller than the potential difference which triggers particle movement between the rear side electrodes and a display side electrodes not selected to contribute to the image display, **figure 3E item 14,**

and between the rear side electrodes and a display side electrodes selected to contribute to the image display, thereby inhibiting a movement of particles at least one of towards and away from an electrode not selected to contribute to the image display, **figure 9A item 102 = 0, column 28 lines 30-65.**

Wherein a pre-addressing, addressing, pre-blanking, and blanking, voltage component are achieved by a voltage source having three voltage values 0,  $V/2$ , and  $V$ , which are applied between the  $V_{com}$  on the display side and discrete electrodes on the rear side of the display. Figures 3, 9, and 10 illustrate as such. Said pre-addressing voltage conditions the display but does not cause a particle migration. Said addressing component can be applied before or after the non particle migration voltage component. Wherein as amended said language of "wherein a time period in which the voltage is applied that contributes to the image display overlaps with a time period in which the voltage is applied that does not contribute to the image display" is not explicitly taught by Gates et al.. Said feature would have been obvious to the skilled artisan at the time of the invention in view of Evans. **Evans teaches of a driving technique in a display as**



claimed wherein time period in which the voltage is applied that contributes to the image display overlaps with a time period in which the voltage is applied that does not contribute to the image display, column 6 lines 25-35. As shown in figure 3, Gates illustrates the voltage differential applied between the first and second electrodes, causing the particles to migrate. Gates illustrates the **result** of what is claimed and taught by Evans, wherein the voltages applied to the row and column electrodes may overlap in time period is known in the art. Therefore in figure 3F Gates teaches of a positive pulse addressing signal 16 preceding the negative pulse 18 so long as the negative pulse does not have sufficient amplitude to cause the particles to move, said signal/pulse being applied as claimed between the display and rear side electrode, in sequence. The delay between the positive and negative pulse can be non-existent, column 19 lines 20-25, corresponding to the switching of Evans, which can be simultaneous or subsequently as known in the art.

**Therefore it would have been obvious** to the skilled artisan at the time of the invention to have time period of contribution and non contribution voltage application overlap because Evans suggests such a drive feature in a device as taught by Gates, having a second substrate as taught by Inoue et al., as found in claim 7.

**As in claim 8**, Gates et al. teaches of wherein the voltage applying component applies substantially the same voltage to both the display side electrodes which contribute to

image display and the rear side electrodes which do not contribute to image display, figure 3D items 2 and 4.

**As in claim 9**, Gates et al. teaches of further comprising a pre-voltage applying component which, before the voltage applying component applies a voltage, applies a pre-voltage to both the display side electrodes and the rear side electrodes so as to attract particles to be moved to the electrodes on which the particles are adhering, column 18 lines 5-15, column 28 lines 55-65, pre-addressing or blanking.

**As in claim 10**, Gates et al. teaches of wherein the pre voltage applying component applies the pre-voltage, in a case that a potential difference between the display side electrodes and the rear side electrodes, in which at least one of the display side electrodes and the rear side electrodes do not contribute to image display, exceeds a predetermined value when the voltage applying component applies a voltage, figure 9A item 102 = 0 or +V.

**As in claim 11**, Gates et al. teaches of wherein a value of the voltage applied by the pre-voltage applying component is the same as a value of the voltage which corresponds to the potential difference which triggers particle movement, figure 3D items 2 and 4.

**As in claim 12**, Gates et al. teaches of wherein the types of particles comprise positively charged black particles and negatively charged white particles, column 15 lines 44-46.

**As in claim 13**, Gates et al. teaches of the limitations as applied above to claim 1. **Further**, Gates et al. teaches of the method comprising the steps of applying a voltage to a display side electrode and a rear side electrode, both selected to contribute to an image display, so that a potential difference generated there between corresponds to a potential difference which triggers particle movement, **figure 3E item 14**;

and applying a voltage to a display side electrode and a rear side electrode, in which at least one of the display side electrodes and the rear side electrodes are not selected to contribute to the image display, to make a potential difference generated there between smaller than the potential difference which triggers particle movement, thereby inhibiting a movement of particles at least one of towards and away from an electrode not selected to contribute to the image display, **figure 3E item 12**.

Wherein a pre-addressing, addressing, pre-blanking, and blanking, voltage component are achieved by a voltage source having three voltage values 0,  $V/2$ , and  $V$ , which are applied between the  $V_{com}$  on the display side and discrete electrodes on the rear side of the display. Figures 3, 9, and 10 illustrate as such. Said pre-addressing voltage conditions the display but does not cause a particle migration. Said addressing

component can be applied before or after the non particle migration voltage component. Wherein as amended said language of “wherein a time period in which the voltage is applied that contributes to the image display overlaps with a time period in which the voltage is applied that does not contribute to the image display” is not explicitly taught by Gates et al.. Said feature would have been obvious to the skilled artisan at the time of the invention in view of Evans.

**Evans teaches of a** driving technique in a display as claimed wherein time period in which the voltage is applied that contributes to the image display overlaps with a time period in which the voltage is applied that does not contribute to the image display, column 6 lines 25-35. As shown in figure 3, Gates illustrates the voltage differential applied between the first and second electrodes, causing the particles to migrate. Gates illustrates the **result** of what is claimed and taught by Evans, wherein the voltages applied to the row and column electrodes may overlap in time period is known in the art. Therefore in figure 3F Gates teaches of a positive pulse addressing signal 16 preceding the negative pulse 18 so long as the negative pulse does not have sufficient amplitude to cause the particles to move, said signal/pulse being applied as claimed between the display and rear side electrode, in sequence. The delay between the positive and negative pulse can be non-existent, column 19 lines 20-25, corresponding to the switching of Evans, which can be simultaneous or subsequently as known in the art.

**Therefore it would have been obvious** to the skilled artisan at the time of the invention to have time period of contribution and non contribution voltage application overlap because Evans suggests such a drive feature in a device as taught by Gates, having a second substrate as taught by Inoue et al., as found in claim 13.

**As in claim 14**, Gates et al. teaches of further comprising a step of applying the pre-voltage, in a case that a potential difference between the display side electrodes and the rear side electrodes, in which at least one of the display side electrodes and the rear side electrodes do not contribute to image display, exceeds a predetermined value when the voltage applying component applies a voltage, figure 9A item 102 = 0 or +V.

**As in claim 15**, Gates et al. teaches of wherein a value of the voltage applied by the pre-voltage applying component is the same as that which corresponds to the potential difference which triggers particle movement, figure 3D items 2 and 4.

**As in claim 16**, Gates et al. teaches of, wherein the types of particles comprise positively charged black particles and negatively charged white particles, column 15 lines 44-46.

**As in claim 17**, Gates et al. teaches of the limitations as applied above to claim 1. **Further**, Gates et al. teaches of, the method comprising the steps of: applying a voltage to a display side electrode and a rear side electrode, both selected to contribute to an

image display, so that a potential difference generated there between corresponds to a potential difference which triggers particle movement, **figure 3E item 14**;

and applying a voltage to a rear side electrodes to generate a potential difference which is smaller than the potential difference which triggers particle movement between the rear side electrodes and a display side electrodes both not selected to contribute to the image display, and which is smaller than the potential difference which triggers particles movement between a rear side electrode not selected to contribute to the image display and a display the display side electrode selected to contribute to the image display, thereby inhibiting a movement of particles at least one of towards and away from an electrode not selected to contribute to the image display, **figure 3E item 12**.

Wherein a pre-addressing, addressing, pre-blanking, and blanking, voltage component are achieved by a voltage source having three voltage values 0,  $V/2$ , and  $V$ , which are applied between the  $V_{com}$  on the display side and discrete electrodes on the rear side of the display. Figures 3, 9, and 10 illustrate as such. Said pre-addressing voltage conditions the display but does not cause a particle migration. Said addressing component can be applied before or after the non particle migration voltage component. Wherein as amended said language of “wherein a time period in which the voltage is applied that contributes to the image display overlaps with a time period in which the voltage is applied that does not contribute to the image display” is not explicitly taught by Gates et al.. Said feature would have been obvious to the skilled artisan at the time

Art Unit: 2673

of the invention in view of Evans. **Evans teaches of a** driving technique in a display as claimed wherein time period in which the voltage is applied that contributes to the image display overlaps with a time period in which the voltage is applied that does not contribute to the image display, column 6 lines 25-35. As shown in figure 3, Gates illustrates the voltage differential applied between the first and second electrodes, causing the particles to migrate. Gates illustrates the **result** of what is claimed and taught by Evans, wherein the voltages applied to the row and column electrodes may overlap in time period is known in the art. Therefore in figure 3F Gates teaches of a positive pulse addressing signal 16 preceding the negative pulse 18 so long as the negative pulse does not have sufficient amplitude to cause the particles to move, said signal/pulse being applied as claimed between the display and rear side electrode, in sequence. The delay between the positive and negative pulse can be non-existent, column 19 lines 20-25, corresponding to the switching of Evans, which can be simultaneous or subsequently as known in the art.

**Therefore it would have been obvious** to the skilled artisan at the time of the invention to have time period of contribution and non contribution voltage application overlap because Evans suggests such a drive feature in a device as taught by Gates, having a second substrate as taught by Inoue et al., as found in claim 17.

**As in claim 18**, Gates et al. teaches of further comprising a step of applying the pre-voltage, in a case that a potential difference between the display side electrodes and

the rear side electrodes, in which at least one of the display side electrodes and the rear side electrodes do not contribute to image display, exceeds a predetermined value when the voltage applying component applies a voltage, figure 9A item 102 = 0 or +V.

**As in claim 19**, Gates et al. teaches of wherein a value of the voltage applied by the pre-voltage applying component is the same as a value of the voltage which corresponds to the potential difference which triggers particle movement, figure 3D items 2 and 4.

**As in claim 20**, Gates et al. teaches of wherein the types of particles comprise positively charged black particles and negatively charged white particles, column 15 lines 44-46.

### ***Response to Arguments***

2. **Applicant's arguments filed on 1/4/2006 have been fully considered but are not persuasive.** Applicant argues Gates fails to inhibit a movement of particles at least one of towards and away from an electrode not selected to contribute to the image display. Examiner disagrees. Gates provides pulses 18 that do not have sufficient amplitude to cause the particles to move within the capsule. Gates teaches many bistable displays, especially electrophoretic displays, exhibit a threshold behavior such that signals below a certain threshold valued do not cause the display to change display state. Applicant appears to use a confusing language to state the presence of a



Art Unit: 2673

refresh, hold, pre-selection, or post-selection pulse. Such pulses are well known. Rejection maintained.

### **Conclusion**

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Bryning et al. (55827000) teaches of an electrophoretic display having hold pulses, figure 10 and 11. Inoue (2002/0036616) teaches of an electrophoretic display having refresh pulses, claim 22.


4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **David L. Lewis** whose telephone number is **(571) 272-7673**. The examiner can normally be reached on MT and THF from 8 to 5. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala, can be reached on **(571) 272-7681**. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571)-273-8300.

5. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

---

Examiner: David L. Lewis

**March 4, 2006**



**BIPIN SHALWALA**  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600